IFW

03560.002840



PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

| In re Application of: |) | |
|--------------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| • • | : | Examiner: Luong T. Nguyen |
| Akihiro FUJIWARA |) | |
| | : | Group Art Unit: 2612 |
| Application No.: 09/893,611 |) | |
| | : | |
| Filed: June 29, 2001 |) | |
| T DIVOTO OD A DIVIDAG GIVOTO (| ; | 7 20 2006 |
| For: PHOTOGRAPHING SYSTEM |) | June 20, 2006 |

Mail Stop Amendment

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Response to Requirement To Provide Written Summary of Substance of June 7, 2006 Interview

Sir:

In response to the June 12, 2006 Interview Summary requiring the submission of a Statement of the Substance of the June 7, 2006 interview, Applicant notes for the record that the June 12, 2006 Supplemental Amendment provides the required statement of the summary of the interview. For the record, an excerpt from page 9 of that Supplemental Amendment is provided below:

On June 7, 2006, the undersigned conducted a telephone interview with the Examiner in charge of this case. In that interview, the undersigned emphasized that the documents to Ogino et al. and Honda et al. are not understood to disclose or suggest the concept of enabling the

reproduction on a display of information needed to properly display and view a stereoscopic image, as recited in independent Claims 1, 5, and 7. As a result, Applicant's representative requested that the outstanding rejection of the claims over these documents in the January 26, 2006 Office Action be withdrawn. In response, the Examiner requested that the claims be amended to clarify the relationship between the information needed to properly display and view a stereoscopic image, the predetermined information, and the control information. In addition, the Examiner agreed to withdraw the outstanding rejection over the documents to Ogino et al. and Honda et al., if Claim 1 was amended to specify that the type of transmitted information is one of the types of information recited in Claim 2, and if the claims were amended to clarify the relationship between the various kinds of information recited therein (independent Claims 5 and 7 already specifying the type of information to be recorded or transmitted). The Examiner also noted that even if the claims are so amended, he would still be required to conduct an updated search before he would allow the claims.

Applicant's undersigned attorney may be reached in our Washington, D.C. office by telephone at (202) 530-1010. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our below-listed address.

Respectfully submitted,

Gary M. Jacobs

Attorney for Applicant Registration No. 28,861

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO 30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112-3801
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

GMJ:ayr

DC_MAIN 244238v1